Available on: https://jsshrs.ep-journals.org/ E-ISSN: 3051-3561, P-ISSN: 3051-3553

Journal of Social Science and Human Research Studies

Volume : 02 Issue : 11 November-2026

Preparing Popular-Music Singers for Advanced Stage Performance: A Conceptual Framework Integrating Vocal Technique, Stylistic Interpretation, And Performance Readiness

Do Thi Lam
Hong Duc University, Vietnam

Published Date: November 04, 2026 **Article DOI: 10.65150/EP-jsshrs/V2E11/2026-05**

ABSTRACT: Advanced popular-music performance is a multidimensional practice in which vocal coordination, stylistic interpretation, ensemble responsiveness, stage communication, and sustainable preparation operate as interdependent competencies. This article develops a practice-oriented conceptual framework for preparing popular-music singers for demanding live performance. An interpretive review synthesises scholarship on popular-music voice teaching, informal learning, deliberate practice, occupational voice care, performance anxiety, and music-performance assessment. The analysis does not use a new performance sample, interviews, physiological measurements, or competition records. It identifies five interrelated domains of readiness and four recurring tensions: technical consistency versus stylistic identity; vocal display versus song communication; stage polish versus credible expression; and performance ambition versus sustainable practice. The proposed STAGE framework-Style analysis, Technical coordination, Artistic interpretation, Gesture and audience communication, and Evaluation and readiness-organises these domains into a cyclical preparation process. It translates general aspirations into explicit learning tasks and review evidence while resisting the reduction of artistic quality to a single score. STAGE is a conceptual proposal, not an empirically validated model; subsequent research should examine its feasibility and explanatory value across coaching, rehearsal, live-performance, and competition contexts.

KEYWORDS: popular music, vocal performance, stagecraft, performance readiness, vocal health, music performance anxiety, STAGE framework.

1. INTRODUCTION

Popular music singers work within performance environments shaped by stylistic plurality, amplified sound, recording conventions, short-form digital media, and audience expectations that differ across venues. In this article, “light music” is used in the broad sense of contemporary popular and commercially oriented vocal performance, including pop, rock, rhythm and blues, soul, and related hybrid styles. The term does not imply a single vocal technique or a uniform repertoire. Distinct styles require different relationships among vocal register, timbre, rhythmic placement, ornamentation, diction, microphone use, and physical presentation.

High-level performance is often judged through visible outcomes such as competition placement, audience response, or technical difficulty. Those indicators may be meaningful, but none fully represents the processes that produce a compelling and repeatable performance. A singer can execute notes accurately while failing to communicate lyrical intention; conversely, expressive intensity may be undermined by unstable vocal coordination, weak ensemble timing, or unsustainable vocal loading. A developmental account therefore needs to connect technique, interpretation, stagecraft, psychological readiness, and recovery rather than treating each as a separate checklist.

Research on popular-music voice teaching has highlighted the need to recognise expertise that is specific to contemporary styles and the professional conditions in which those styles are practised (Keskinen et al., 2024). Studies of contemporary commercial singers similarly draw attention to occupational vocal-health demands that may be obscured when non-classical singing is assessed through assumptions derived from classical training alone (Morawska et al., 2022). These perspectives make it necessary to ask not only whether a singer can produce a desired sound, but also whether the sound is stylistically appropriate, repeatable, and produced with a sustainable strategy.

This article addresses three questions. RQ1: Which interdependent competencies contribute to high-level popular-music stage performance? RQ2: What tensions arise when singers pursue technical excellence, artistic identity, stage impact, and sustainable practice? RQ3: How can preparation be organised so that these competencies are developed and reviewed transparently? The contribution is a conceptual preparation framework intended for singers, vocal coaches, ensemble directors, and performance educators. It does not rank named performers or claim to identify empirically validated predictors of competition success.

For analytical clarity, “advanced” performance in this article refers to the capacity to deliver a stylistically coherent, technically dependable, communicatively effective, and repeatable performance under specified conditions. It is not used as a synonym for competition placement, celebrity status, vocal range, or technical difficulty. These outcomes may inform external judgements, but they are affected by repertoire choice, judging

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

criteria, production conditions, audience expectations, and opportunity structures. The framework therefore focuses on the preparation process and on evidence that can be observed in rehearsal and performance, while acknowledging that artistic value remains partly context-dependent.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Popular-music voice teaching and stylistic knowledge

Popular-music singing encompasses varied timbral and interpretive practices. The relevant question is not whether a singer approximates one idealised tone, but whether vocal choices serve the musical style, phrase, text, and performance context. Keskinen et al. (2024) synthesised scholarship on professionalism in popular-music voice teaching and showed how knowledge construction, professional agency, and institutional support shape this emerging field. Their synthesis supports an approach in which teachers make the knowledge behind stylistic decisions explicit while remaining responsive to diverse practices and artistic identities.

A further implication is that genre competence should be treated as situated knowledge rather than a list of surface effects. A vocal colour, rhythmic placement, melisma, consonant treatment, or degree of breathiness acquires meaning through its relation to a song, its production history, the performer's identity, and conventions recognised by particular listeners. Keskinen and Juntunen's mapping review of popular-music voice teaching identifies a broad field spanning genre-specific singing, pedagogical methods, voice science, health, and well-being, while also noting the geographical and language limitations of the literature they reviewed (Keskinen & Juntunen, 2024). This breadth reinforces the need to avoid presenting one national or institutional tradition as a universal model for popular-music performance.

Informal learning also has a substantial role in popular music. Green (2002) describes musicians' learning through listening, imitation, experimentation, playing with others, and developing music beyond tightly prescribed lesson structures. These practices can build aural acuity and stylistic understanding, but they do not eliminate the need for deliberate technical diagnosis. A performer preparing for demanding stage work may need to move repeatedly between imitation of a reference performance, analysis of how an effect is produced, targeted exercises, and independent adaptation. The developmental objective is not imitation alone; it is the ability to explain, reproduce, and artistically transform stylistic resources.

2.2. Deliberate practice and performance consistency

Expertise research distinguishes practice intended to improve a specific component from repetition that simply reproduces familiar behaviour. Ericsson et al. (1993) proposed deliberate practice as structured effort directed toward improvement, with informative feedback and sustained engagement. Their account is a useful conceptual point of departure, although practice time should not be treated as a complete explanation of expertise. Musical performance also depends on prior experience, teaching quality, physical conditions, interpretation, and opportunities to perform.

For a popular-music singer, focused practice may concern consonant timing, register transitions, rhythmic placement, control of onset and release, breath coordination, pitch accuracy under movement, or consistency across repeated takes. Practice tasks become more informative when the singer identifies a specific problem, records a baseline, isolates a difficult passage, applies a strategy, and listens again under conditions similar to performance. The value lies in the quality of the feedback loop, not in the mere accumulation of rehearsal hours.

Transfer from the practice room to the stage should be examined explicitly. A passage that is secure at a slow tempo in a quiet room may become unstable when accompanied by a full band, amplified monitoring, movement, lighting, or the cognitive demands of audience interaction. Preparation can therefore vary one condition at a time before combining them: first stabilising the phrase, then rehearsing it with accompaniment, then adding microphone handling or movement, and finally integrating it into a complete set. This sequence is a proposed instructional application of deliberate-practice principles rather than a tested protocol. Its purpose is to make the source of performance difficulty more diagnosable and the response more targeted.

2.3. Vocal health and occupational sustainability

Contemporary commercial singers may face repeated rehearsals, amplified performance, demanding schedules, stylistic effects, travel, variable monitoring, and pressure to maintain a recognisable vocal identity. Morawska et al. (2022) review voice-care issues in contemporary commercial singing and caution against simplifying non-classical techniques as intrinsically harmful or inherently safe. Vocal health requires attention to the interaction between technique, intensity, workload, environment, recovery, and individual circumstances.

Accordingly, high-level preparation should include monitoring of vocal effort, recovery after demanding sessions, changes in range or ease, and recurring signs of strain. This article does not prescribe diagnosis or treatment; persistent voice changes or discomfort require appropriate assessment by qualified health professionals. The pedagogical implication is that a preparation plan should account for load management and recovery rather than encouraging singers to treat every limitation as a problem to overcome through greater force.

A self-monitoring routine should remain descriptive rather than diagnostic. Singers may record the duration and intensity of practice, perceived vocal effort, recovery between sessions, and whether a passage remains reliable across repeated attempts. Such a log can help a coach recognise patterns and adjust demands; it cannot determine the medical cause of voice symptoms. The cross-sectional study of 412 Polish contemporary commercial music singers by Morawska et al. (2024) documents substantial diversity in performers' experience, professional circumstances, and self-assessed voice-related difficulties. Its findings should not be generalised automatically to other countries, but they support the more limited conclusion that contemporary commercial singers are a heterogeneous population whose needs cannot be represented by a single training profile.

2.4. Performance anxiety, stagecraft, and evaluation

Music performance anxiety is not simply a lack of confidence. The systematic review by Barros et al. (2022) identifies a diverse body of research on anxiety among undergraduate music students, including risk factors, coping, physiological responses, and relationships with performance experience. Blair and van der Sluis (2022) found that relatively few approaches were readily transferable into routine higher-education teaching, underscoring the gap between identifying anxiety and embedding preventive practice into everyday training. More recently, Wang and Lan (2026) synthesised evidence concerning social support and coping and highlighted the role of teacher, peer, professional, and institutional support alongside individual strategies.

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

These studies support preparation that gradually familiarises performers with the conditions of public performance. Low-stakes run-throughs, simulated stage entrances, microphone checks, time-limited sets, peer feedback, and reflective recordings can help make stage conditions part of learning rather than an unexpected final test. They do not guarantee that anxiety will disappear; instead, they can help singers establish reliable routines and seek support when needed.

Educators should distinguish normal activation before performance from distress that persistently impairs learning, functioning, or well-being. A singer's reluctance to perform should not automatically be interpreted as a deficit in motivation, and a high level of visible arousal should not be treated as proof of poor preparation. A systematic review of teacher approaches to performance-anxiety management found that teachers may have a meaningful role, while the available literature also points to limits in how consistently these approaches are embedded in teaching (Mazzarolo et al., 2023). Accordingly, routine preparation can include supportive feedback, graduated exposure to performance situations, and referral pathways, but it should not substitute for psychological or clinical care when that is needed.

Assessment is another part of readiness. Because musical judgements contain subjective dimensions, a transparent rubric can make expectations more legible without pretending to eliminate expert judgement. Wesolowski (2012) discusses how performance rubrics can clarify criteria and document achievement. For popular-music singing, criteria should preserve the relationship between technical security and expressive purpose; they should not turn every performance into a mechanically scored inventory of gestures.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1. Research design

The study uses an interpretive conceptual review and practice-oriented synthesis. Its unit of analysis is the performer-preparation process: the set of learning, rehearsal, feedback, and self-monitoring practices through which a singer prepares for a demanding live performance. This approach was chosen because the research questions concern the conceptual organisation of competencies rather than the measurement of a particular vocal intervention.

3.2. Sources and selection principles

The source set was purposively assembled from traceable publications addressing popular-music voice pedagogy, informal learning, deliberate practice, occupational voice care, performance anxiety, and music-performance assessment. Priority was given to peer-reviewed review articles, empirical studies, and foundational theoretical texts that directly informed a defined analytical dimension. The selection was conceptual rather than exhaustive: the article does not claim a comprehensive database search, protocol registration, duplicate screening, or formal risk-of-bias appraisal. The resulting synthesis is therefore intended to organise relevant concepts and generate a usable framework, not to estimate the prevalence of a phenomenon or establish causal relationships.

3.3. Analytical procedure and evidence boundaries

The analysis proceeded through four stages. First, each source was read for its principal construct and evidentiary status. Second, concepts relevant to advanced performance were grouped into technical coordination, stylistic interpretation, ensemble responsiveness, stage communication, and performance readiness. Third, relationships and potential tensions between these domains were examined, with particular attention to the risk of treating technical success, expressive quality, and sustainability as interchangeable outcomes. Fourth, the synthesis was translated into a cyclical framework with observable activities and review evidence. The process was interpretive and author-led; no intercoder agreement statistics or formal coding reliability coefficients are claimed.

Three evidentiary categories were kept distinct: findings reported in the cited literature; conceptual inferences about their relevance to popular-music performance; and recommendations proposed by this article. No singer interviews, competition records, physiological tests, acoustic measurements, or direct performance observations were collected. Consequently, the framework should be read as a reasoned tool for future evaluation, not as a demonstrated model of performance achievement.

The unit of synthesis was the preparation decision rather than the individual singer or a recorded performance. The analysis did not compare alternative training programmes, estimate effect sizes, or test the reliability of a rating instrument. Framework elements were retained when they met two criteria: they were conceptually supported by one or more sources and could be translated into an observable task or reflective question. The resulting arrangement is a proposed analytical architecture. It should be evaluated through expert review and applied studies before it is treated as a stable model for curriculum design or performance selection.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Five connected domains of high-level performance

The synthesis identifies five domains that interact during high-level performance. The first is technical coordination: secure pitch and rhythm, controlled register transitions, appropriate vocal effort, and the ability to sustain stylistic choices across a set. The second is stylistic interpretation: understanding genre conventions, phrasing, diction, timbre, ornamentation, and the relationship between lyrical meaning and musical form. The third is ensemble responsiveness, including listening to accompaniment, coordinating entries, adapting to groove, and responding when live conditions differ from rehearsal. The fourth is stage communication, through purposeful movement, microphone technique, audience address, and coherent use of space. The fifth is performance readiness, encompassing preparation routines, coping strategies, feedback use, recovery, and contingency planning.

These domains are related but not interchangeable. A strong vocal technique does not automatically produce persuasive interpretation. Stage movement may intensify the meaning of a song, but it can also distract from phrasing or increase physical demand. A well-rehearsed arrangement can fail when the singer does not listen responsively to the band. Table 1 therefore links each domain to practical indicators while requiring that assessment remain sensitive to style and context.

The domains are analytically separable but operationally interdependent. Technical coordination sets the conditions under which interpretive intention can be sustained; stylistic interpretation determines which technical options are appropriate; ensemble responsiveness tests whether those

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

options remain effective in interaction; stage communication shapes how the performance is perceived; and readiness practices support repeatability across the whole set. A weakness in one domain can therefore appear as a problem in another. For example, a singer who seems insufficiently expressive may in fact be directing attention toward a difficult passage, while apparently weak rhythmic execution may stem from an unclear arrangement or monitor mix. Review procedures should diagnose the interaction before prescribing a correction.

Table 1. Domains of popular-music performance readiness and review evidence

Domain	Observable indicators	Useful review evidence
Technical coordination	Pitch and rhythmic stability; efficient vocal production; controlled phrasing; repeatability across the set.	Practice recordings; targeted task records; vocal-load and recovery notes.
Stylistic interpretation	Genre-appropriate timbre, groove, diction, ornamentation, and phrase shaping; intelligible lyrical intention.	Reference-track analysis; annotated score or lyric sheet; coach and peer feedback.
Ensemble responsiveness	Secure entries; listening to accompaniment; adaptation to tempo, dynamics, cues, and live variation.	Band rehearsal recordings; cue maps; notes on adjustments made in rehearsal.
Stage communication	Purposeful movement, microphone handling, audience connection, stage position, and coherent transitions.	Video review; stage map; peer observation against agreed criteria.
Performance readiness	Reliable preparation routine; coping plan; contingency decisions; recovery and post-performance reflection.	Run-through log; performance diary; set-list and technical checklist; follow-up review.

4.2. Four recurring tensions in preparation

The first tension is technical consistency versus stylistic identity. A technique that improves uniformity may inadvertently reduce useful timbral variation if it is taught as a universal sound. The second is vocal display versus song communication. Difficult runs, sustained notes, or high-intensity effects may demonstrate capacity, yet remain artistically unconvincing when they do not serve the song. The third is stage polish versus credible expression: scripted movement can improve coordination but appear disconnected from musical intention if it becomes formulaic. The fourth is performance ambition versus sustainable practice. Intensive preparation may improve readiness in the short term while increasing risk if vocal load, sleep, recovery, or psychological support are neglected.

These tensions are not solved by choosing one side. Rather, coaches and performers need to identify the specific artistic goal, judge whether the chosen strategy serves it, and monitor any cost to repeatability or well-being. Table 2 summarises a balanced approach.

Table 2. Preparation tensions and balancing questions

Tension	Risk of a narrow approach	Balancing question
Consistency / stylistic identity	Standardising tone and phrasing until the performance loses genre-specific character.	Which technical choices protect function while preserving deliberate timbral and interpretive variation?
Vocal display / song communication	Prioritising difficult effects over text, phrase structure, and overall musical meaning.	Does each demanding effect serve the song and remain reliable under live conditions?
Stage polish / credible expression	Using gestures as a fixed routine unrelated to lyrical or musical intention.	What movement or audience interaction makes the intended meaning clearer?
Ambition / sustainability	Over-rehearsing, forcing the voice, or treating anxiety and fatigue as personal weakness.	What load, recovery, feedback, and support plan makes the performance repeatable?

4.3. The proposed STAGE framework

The synthesis is translated into STAGE: Style analysis, Technical coordination, Artistic interpretation, Gesture and audience communication, and Evaluation and readiness. The framework is intended as a recurring preparation cycle rather than a linear checklist. Style analysis establishes the target and its conventions. Technical coordination develops reliable means of producing the required sound. Artistic interpretation connects technical decisions to lyrical and musical meaning. Gesture and audience communication translate that interpretation into stage action. Evaluation and readiness review the whole performance, identify problems, and return the singer to the relevant earlier stage.

Figure 1. The STAGE preparation cycle



License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

STAGE does not define one ideal performance style. An intimate ballad, a dance-oriented pop number, and a rock performance may require different balances of physical movement, vocal intensity, rhythmic placement, and audience contact. The common principle is alignment: each decision should be explainable in relation to the repertoire, the performer's capacities, the arrangement, and the venue.

The cyclical structure also makes room for artistic revision. A rehearsal recording may show that a technically impressive ornament obscures the lyric; an ensemble run-through may reveal that a movement pattern interferes with breath timing; or a simulated performance may expose an unreliable transition between songs. In each case, evaluation should return the singer to the relevant earlier stage rather than treat the issue as a separate final-stage defect. The framework therefore treats readiness as a revisable relationship between intention, capability, context, and evidence-not as a permanent attribute that a performer either possesses or lacks.

Table 3. Operationalising STAGE in coaching and rehearsal

Stage	Core question	Minimum preparation evidence
Style analysis	What stylistic conventions, reference points, and interpretive choices define the target?	Reference listening; annotated lyrics; tempo, groove, diction, and timbre notes.
Technical coordination	Can the required phrases and effects be produced consistently and without avoidable strain?	Targeted exercises; repeated recordings; warm-up and recovery plan.
Artistic interpretation	How do phrasing and vocal choices communicate the song's narrative and emotional arc?	Interpretive statement; phrase map; alternative versions tested and compared.
Gesture and audience communication	How do movement, microphone, transitions, and spatial choices support the musical intention?	Stage map; full-set video; feedback on communication and practicality.
Evaluation and readiness	What remains uncertain, and how will the performer respond to live conditions?	Full run-through; rubric-based feedback; contingency checklist; reflective revision log.

4.4. Readiness as a profile rather than a single score

The framework supports a readiness profile built from multiple forms of evidence. Technical security may be reviewed through repeated passages and full-set recordings; interpretation through the clarity of phrasing and text; ensemble responsiveness through rehearsal and live-run observations; stage communication through video and peer review; and sustainability through self-monitoring and appropriate professional advice. No single method captures all dimensions, and a high aggregate score should not conceal a serious weakness in vocal health or safe performance practice.

This conceptual position suggests three propositions for future assessment. First, the value of technical preparation should be judged partly by transfer into the intended performance context, not only by improvement in isolated exercises. Second, expressive effectiveness is likely to depend on the coherence among vocal, musical, and stage decisions rather than on the maximum level of any single technique. Third, sustainable readiness should include repeatability and recovery as criteria alongside immediate impact. These are propositions generated by the synthesis, not empirically established hypotheses; they require operational definitions and appropriate data before they can be tested.

Wesolowski's (2012) work on rubrics suggests that explicit criteria can reduce confusion about what is being assessed. For popular-music performance, a rubric should include descriptive anchors and room for expert judgement. It should also distinguish a technical error from an intentional stylistic choice. The purpose is to support feedback and development, not to make a complex performance appear fully objective.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Conceptual contribution: integrating performance competencies

The main contribution is to describe high-level performance as coordinated readiness across technical, interpretive, relational, stage, and self-management domains. This extends beyond an approach that defines excellence principally through range, vocal power, or competition outcomes. The STAGE framework brings together insights from popular-music pedagogy, deliberate practice, vocal-health literature, and performance-anxiety research without implying that any single source validates the whole framework (Ericsson et al., 1993; Keskinen et al., 2024; Morawska et al., 2022).

The framework also supports a more nuanced understanding of practice. Focused repetition is necessary for many performance tasks, but the target must be clear and feedback informative. Stylistic imitation may help singers recognise genre conventions, yet a mature performance requires choices that express the singer's interpretation. Stage rehearsal should not be added only after vocal preparation is complete, because movement, microphone use, ensemble interaction, and audience communication can alter the conditions under which technique must operate.

5.2. Implications for vocal coaches and performance institutions

Vocal coaches can use STAGE to plan a sequence of sessions that alternates diagnostic listening, technical work, song interpretation, staged run-throughs, and reflection. Ensemble leaders can involve singers in cue planning and review of live responsiveness instead of evaluating only solo vocal delivery. Institutions and competitions can publish criteria that identify the relative importance of technical security, stylistic appropriateness, interpretation, ensemble awareness, and stage communication. Such transparency helps performers allocate practice effort and understand feedback.

Performance preparation should incorporate vocal-health awareness and appropriate support for anxiety. The systematic reviews by Barros et al. (2022) and Blair and van der Sluis (2022) caution against assuming that performance anxiety has one cause or that a single intervention can be applied uniformly. Wang and Lan (2026) further emphasise that social support and coping are shaped by relationships and institutional contexts. Coaches can normalise structured rehearsal under performance-like conditions, encourage reflective rather than humiliating feedback, and direct singers toward qualified professional help when symptoms exceed the scope of routine teaching.

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

5.3. Limitations and future research

This article is conceptual and does not test STAGE with singers, judges, coaches, or live performances. It therefore cannot establish which domain most strongly predicts contest results, professional bookings, audience response, or long-term vocal health. The source base is purposive, and the popular-music category includes genres with different demands. Future work should pilot the framework in several performance settings, collect coach and performer feedback, compare readiness profiles with repeated recordings, and examine how indicators need to change across repertoire types. Longitudinal work would be required to determine whether the framework improves transfer from studio rehearsal to live performance.

6. CONCLUSION

High-level popular-music performance depends on the coordination of technical vocal skill, stylistic understanding, artistic interpretation, ensemble responsiveness, stage communication, and sustainable preparation. The synthesis identifies recurrent tensions between consistency and identity, vocal display and song communication, stage polish and credible expression, and ambition and well-being. It proposes the STAGE framework as a practical way to make preparation and feedback more explicit.

The framework's contribution is currently conceptual. It should be treated as a structured set of questions for coaches and performers, not as a validated measure of excellence or a guarantee of competition success. Its effectiveness must be assessed through practice-based work involving actual singers, diverse genres, performance contexts, and appropriate evaluation of both artistic outcomes and sustainability.

REFERENCES

1) Barros, S., Marinho, H., Borges, N., & Pereira, A. (2022). Characteristics of music performance anxiety among undergraduate music students: A systematic review. Psychology of Music, 50(6), 2021–2043. https://doi.org/10.1177/03057356211066967
2) Blair, E., & van der Sluis, H. (2022). Music performance anxiety and higher education teaching: A systematic literature review. Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice, 19(3). https://doi.org/10.53761/1.19.3.05
3) Ericsson, K. A., Krampe, R. T., & Tesch-Römer, C. (1993). The role of deliberate practice in the acquisition of expert performance. Psychological Review, 100(3), 363–406. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.100.3.363
4) Green, L. (2002). How popular musicians learn: A way ahead for music education. Ashgate.
5) Keskinen, K. A., & Juntunen, M.-L. (2024). Perspectives on popular music voice teaching: A systematic mapping review of recent literature. Journal of Popular Music Education, 8(2), 135–155. https://doi.org/10.1386/jpme_00139_1
6) Keskinen, K. A., Juntunen, M.-L., & Nerland, M. (2024). Expanding professionalism in popular music voice teaching: A framework synthesis. Research Studies in Music Education, 46(3), 420–455. https://doi.org/10.1177/1321103X231223414
7) Mazzarolo, I., Burwell, K., & Schubert, E. (2023). Teachers' approaches to music performance anxiety management: A systematic review. Frontiers in Psychology, 14, Article 1205150. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1205150
8) Morawska, J., Niebudek-Bogusz, E., & Pietruszewska, W. (2022). Considerations and demands in the voice care of contemporary commercial singers in occupational health and safety aspects. Medycyna Pracy, 73(1), 33–41. https://doi.org/10.13075/mp.5893.01201
9) Morawska, J., Pietruszewska, W., Politański, P., & Niebudek-Bogusz, E. (2024). Population characteristics and self-assessment of speaking and singing voice in Polish contemporary commercial music singers: An exploratory, cross-sectional study. Frontiers in Public Health, 12, Article 1256152. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1256152
10) Wang, Y., & Lan, Y. (2026). The dual roles of social support and coping in music performance anxiety: A systematic review of evidence from music students and professional musicians. Frontiers in Psychology, 17, Article 1904694. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2026.1904694
11) Wesolowski, B. C. (2012). Understanding and developing rubrics for music performance assessment. Music Educators Journal, 98(3), 36–42. https://doi.org/10.1177/0027432111432524

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Corresponding Author: Do Thi Lam